

NP
REG 14 Comments
Infrastructure

We do not need a parking attendant in the car park adjacent to the co-op. A waste of money. A person to check the length of stay is fine but assisting people to park/litter picking a ridiculous extravagance .

I welcome more housing if the schools can cope. If the Doctors can cope! I know there has to be a certain number of houses built before a community hall or likewise built but the need for this is urgent. Check the numbers of Youth, broken in the relationships around them. Self-harming! Check the schools- its happening and has been for years- build the youth places so they feel safe. Community is about building relationships not just the houses. Think about it before it's too late.

Given your stats on 1/2 persons in a larger 3/4 bed house it would seem there is a need for smaller accommodation to make downsizing more feasible. The Fletton field site would be an ideal location for retirement/sheltered housing, close to all amenities.

Still confused about the amount of housing the plan needs to deliver. My understanding is that we need @200 houses to support demand, satisfy requirements and ensure the character vibrancy and resilience at the town? Why then are we offering more though our neighbourhood plan?

Thanks to the town council for putting this altogether.

Too many houses for too few facilities.

I think the developers should be made to pay money for infrastructure improvements- sewage, doctor's surgery increase in size of primary and secondary schools, improvements in town centre, roads which are in a poor condition. Before rather than after any development takes place. Housing should be of varying size but good quality to be designed.

All plans need to be consider the Infrastructure before being approved. In particular the junction between Ashton road and East road is too much of a restriction to accommodate a development at the end of St Christophers drive, both during and after construction.

The infrastructure of the town is already in crisis. The NCC refusal for a bus lane/roundabout is decided by a unreliable government body in the chaos itself who don't live in Oundle.

With the development proposed the amount of movement within Oundle will declimate the roads and the town centre due to these trucks. The NHS dentist has not taken any new patients in the last 2 years so where are an extra 1000 people going for a dentist?

There is clearly no consideration being given to the impact on infrastructure within Oundle. All questions relating to it were dined- never mind what the developers are doing, what are they council doing.

Infrastructure - Oundle is an old town with amazing services. We have been told no water and electricity that Oundle cannot keep going. I have never known a developer lose money.,

Greater emphasis on benefits from cycle/footpaths- provisions for elderly- shops/facilities from new developments for retirement houses.

As the existing sewage works is at or near capacity what action is being taken to ensure that this system will be upgraded to keep up with demand.

Re The proposed development of Oundle. In my opinion the Infrastructure is wonderfully inadequate and I suggest the developers are asked for cash upfront to cover the upgrading costs of any work to be done in the vicinity of the development. The cash must be paid upfront.

In principal I am not against the development, my concern is the infrastructure to support it, parking, GP's, schools in particular relating to the cemetery site, vehicle access for construction and subsequent additional cars once built. All routes into and through the town are already severely congested.